Philosophy 109, Introduction to Modern Logic, Queens College Russell Marcus, Instructor email: <u>philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org</u> website: <u>http://philosophy.thatmarcusfamily.org</u> Office phone: (718) 997-5287

Problems to Review for Final

I. Translations (no relational predicates or identity theory).

- 1. All students who attend college are educated. (Sx, Cx, Ex)
- 2. Men and women are adults. (Mx, Wx, Ax)
- 3. Lawyers are intelligent and shifty. (Lx, Ix, Sx)
- 4. Not all doctors are greedy. (Dx, Gx)
- 5. No excellent doctors are greedy. (Ex, Dx, Gx)
- 6. No one who attends college is ignorant. (Px, Ax, Ix)
- 7. Only glib politicians can be elected. (Gx, Px, Ex)
- 8. No one can be elected unless someone is nominated. (Px, Ex, Nx)
- 9. Some people lie and some people cheat. (Px, Lx, Cx)
- 10. Either everyone is mortal or no one is. (Px, Mx)

II.	Derivations.

	i i utionot	
1)	1. Ab ∨ Bc 2. (x)~Bx	/ (∃x)Ax
2)	1. $(x)(Rx \supset Ox)$ 2. $(\exists x) \sim Ox$ 3. $(x)(\sim Rx \supset Px)$	/ (∃x)Px
3)	1. (x)(Fx ⊃ Gx) 2. (y)(Gy ⊃ Hy)	$/(z)(\sim Hz \supset \sim Fz)$
4)	1. $(\exists x)(Ax \cdot Bx) \supset (x) Dx$ 2. $\sim Da / (x)(Ax)$	$\mathbf{x} \supset \mathbf{a} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$
5)	1. (y)[Ay ⊃ (~By ⊃ Dy)] 2. ~Ba	/ Aa ⊃ Da
6)	1. $(x)(Qx \supset \sim Px)$	$/(\exists x)Px \supset \sim(x)Qx$
7)	1. $(x)[Ax \supset (Bx \cdot Dx)]$ 2. $(x)[(Ax \cdot Dx) \supset Ex]$ 3. $(x)(Ex \supset \neg Dx)$	/ ~ Aa
8)	1. $(x)(Ax \supset Bx)$ 2. $(x)[Bx \supset (Ax \supset \sim Fx)]$ 3. $(x)[(\sim Cx \cdot Dx) \supset Fx] / (x)[Ax \supset Fx]$	$\mathbf{x} \supset (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} \lor \sim \mathbf{D}\mathbf{x})]$
9)	1. $(\exists x)Gx \supset (x)(Fx \supset Dx)$ 2. $(\exists x)(Gx \cdot \neg Dx)$	/ ~(x)Fx
10)	1. $(\exists x)Qx \supset (x)(Rx \supset Sx)$ 2. $(x) \sim Qx \supset (\exists x)Sx$ 3. $(x) Rx$	/ (∃x)Sx

III. Translations (including relational predicates and identity theory).

Use the given legend to translate the following sentences.

Bxy: x is a brother of y Fx: x is a feminist Gx: x is Greek Mxy: x mocks y Nx: x is a novel Px: x is a philosopher Rxy: x is richer than y Sxy: x is smarter than y Wxy: x wrote y

- 1. All feminists are philosophers.
- 2. All Greek feminists are philosophers.
- 3. Nietzsche mocks all feminists.
- 4. Nietzsche mocks everything that Plato wrote.
- 5. Nietzsche mocks everything smarter than him.
- 6. Nietzsche mocks a thing if it does not mock itself.
- 7. If one thing is smarter than a second, then the second is not smarter than the first.
- 8. If all feminist philosophers are richer than some Greek philosopher, then some Greek is smarter than all feminists.
- 9. Cindy's only brother is Al. Ed writes novels. Al doesn't. So, Ed isn't a brother of Cindy's.
- 10. If one thing is richer than a second, then the two aren't identical. So, nothing is richer than itself.
- 11. There are at most two things. Something other than Cindy is happy. So, there are exactly two things.
- 12. The brother of Cindy is happy. So, Cindy has a brother.
- 13. Everything is happy, except Cindy and Bud. Al is unhappy. So, Al is either Cindy or Bud.

IV. Derivations. Derive the conclusions of each of the following arguments.

1)	1. $(\mathbf{x})(\exists \mathbf{y})(\neg \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} \lor \mathbf{G}\mathbf{y})$	$/(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})\mathbf{G}\mathbf{y}$
2)	<ol> <li>(x)(∃y)Fxy ⊃ (x)(∃y)Gxy</li> <li>(∃x)(y)~Gxy</li> </ol>	/ (∃x)(y)~Fxy
3)	1. $(x)[(Fx \lor Gx) \supset (Hx \cdot Kx)]$ 2. $(x)\{(Hx \lor Lx) \supset [(Hx \cdot Nx) \supset Px]\}$	$/(x)[Fx \supset (Nx \supset Px)]$
4)	<ol> <li>~(∃x)(Axa · ~Bxb)</li> <li>~(∃x)(Dxd · Dbx)</li> <li>(x)(Bex ⊃ Dxg)</li> </ol>	/ ~(Aea · Dgd)
5)	1. $(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \supset \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x})$	$/(x)[(\exists y)(Ay \cdot Cxy) \supset (\exists z)(Bz \cdot Cxz)]$
6)	1. $(\exists x)(Nx \cdot Wjx \cdot Ix)$ 2. Nc $\cdot Wjc \cdot (x)[(Nx \cdot Wjx) \supset x=c]$	/ Ic
7)	1. $Pa \cdot Oa \cdot (y)[(Py \cdot Oy) \supset y=a]$ 2. $Pw \cdot Sw \cdot (y)[(Py \cdot Sy) \supset y=w]$ 3. $(\exists x)(Px \cdot Sx \cdot Ox)$	/ a=w
8)	1. $(\exists x) \{ Mx \cdot Tx \cdot (y) [(My \cdot y \neq x) \supset Hxy] \}$	$/(\exists x) \{Mx \cdot Tx \cdot (y)[(My \cdot \neg Ty) \supset Hxy]\}$

9)  $\begin{array}{l} 1. (x)(y)(z)[(Sx \cdot Lx \cdot Sy \cdot Ly \cdot Sz \cdot Lz) \supset (x=y \lor y=z \lor x=z)] \\ 2. (\exists x)(\exists y)(Sx \cdot Lx \cdot Sy \cdot Ly \cdot Rx \cdot Ry \cdot x\neq y) \\ 3. (x)(Rx \supset \sim Cx) / (Sa \cdot Ca) \supset \sim La \end{array}$ 

V. Invalidity. Demonstrate the invalidity of each of the following arguments. Provide a counterexample.

- 1) 1.  $(\exists x)(Ax \cdot \neg Bx)$ 2.  $(x)(Bx \supset Cx)$  /  $(\exists x)(Ax \cdot Cx)$
- 2) 1.  $(x)(Fx \supset Gx)$ 2.  $(\exists x)Fx$  /  $(x)(\sim Gx \supset \sim Ex)$
- 3) 1.  $(x)[(Px \cdot Qx) \supset Rx]$ 2.  $(\exists x)(Qx \cdot \sim Rx)$ 3.  $(\exists x)(Px \cdot \sim Rx)$  /  $(\exists x)(\sim Px \cdot \sim Qx)$